



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/088,424 | 08/14/2002 | Peter Kenington | 46309/271492 | 9122 | | |
| 22186 | 7590 09/09/2 |)4 | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| MENDELS | OHN AND ASSO | JACKSON, | JACKSON, BLANE J | | | |
| | ET STREET | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| SUITE 715 | NIIIA DA 10102 | | 17tt ER NOMBER | | | |
| PHILADELI | PHIA, PA 19102 | 2685 | IJ | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004 | 4 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Applicatio | n No | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | | | | | | |
| | | 10/088,424 | ļ | KENINGTON ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Blane J Jac | | 2685 | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no ever tion. s, a reply within the statur y period will apply and will by statute, cause the appli | nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE | mely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed or | n <u>13 March 2002</u> . | | | | | |
| • | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ | Claim(s) 51-90 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 51-90 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by | accepted or b)[to the drawing(s) be correction is require | e held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Infor | et (s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date <u>2, 4, 10</u> . | | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | | | |

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 51-53, 55-70 and 72-86 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Briffa et al. (U.S. Patent 6,075,411).

As to claims 51, 52 and 70, Briffa teaches a lineariser for reducing distortion of the output signal which signal handling equipment produces in response to an analogue RF input signal, the lineariser comprising:

an extractor for extracting a portion of the input signal (figure 3, extractor is the splitter (34), column 5, line 54 to column 6, line 6),

a modifier for modifying the extracted signal to create non-liner components of reduced frequency therein (figures 3 and 4, within the PreD (37) are the modifier components: Input Detector (48) and (49), Variable Saturation Amplifier (VSA) (50), Variable Gain Amplifier (VGA) to yield a processed RF input which is split to a Squarer (52) for squaring the extracted signal, column 7, lines 34-65),

a generator for generating digitally a distortion signal from a delivered signal which is the modified signal (figure 4, the predistortion circuit PreD (37)), and

Art Unit: 2685

a combiner for combining the distortion signal with the input signal (figure 3, the Quadrature Gain Phase Adjuster (QGPA) (36), column 5, line 60 to column 6, line 26).

As to claim 53, Briffa teaches the combiner comprises a mixer for mixing the distortion signal into the input signal (similar to prior art of figure 2, the QGPA (14) with complex signal mixers, and described for figure 3: column 6, lines 13-26 and 37-40).

As to claims 55 and 72, Briffa teaches the generator is arranged to generate a number of distortion components which are susceptible of independent control (figure 3, the Controller (40) adjusts the predistortion signal coefficients, column 6, line 55 to column 7, line 13).

As to claims 56 and 73, Briffa teaches the generator comprises a splitter for splitting at least one distortion component into orthogonal components, each orthogonal component being susceptible of independent control (figure 4, the PreD (37) where the I and Q orthogonal signals are mixed with the two input signals (x) and (x) squared, column 6, lines 20-58).

As to claims 57 and 74, Briffa teaches the generator is arranged to combine a dc signal with the distortion signal (figure 3, Summers (38) and (39), column 7, lines 8-12).

Art Unit: 2685

As to claims 58 and 75, Briffa teaches wherein the generator produces a number of components and further comprises an adjuster for removing lower order components appearing in at least one of the components (figure 3, the Controller (40) adjust the predistortion signal coefficients (components), column 6, lines 55-58 and column 7, lines 5-8 and compensation for first, third and other order distortion terms: column 8, lines 10-30).

As to claims 59 and 76, Briffa teaches a lineariser according to claim 53 wherein the mixer comprises a splitter for splitting the input signal into orthogonal components (figure 2 QGPA (14) example mixer circuit, figure 3, Splitter (35), column 6, lines 37-40).

As to claims 60-62 and 77-79, Briffa teaches a lineariser according to claim 59 where the mixer mixes the distortion signal into one of the orthogonal input signal components (figure 3 where the "mixer" comprises the Splitter (35) and QGPA (36) to receive orthogonal distortion signal including a DC component to mix with the orthogonal input signal, column 6, lines 13-54).

As to claims 63 and 80, Briffa teaches a signal conditioner for conditioning the signal input to the generator so that the signal input maintains a substantially constant amplitude (figure 4, the PreD (37) includes a Variable Gain Amplifier (51) in the input signal path, column 7, lines 42-64).

Art Unit: 2685

As to claims 64 and 81, Briffa teaches a controller for adjusting a parameter of the distortion signal on the basis of feedback signal derived from the output signal (figure 3, Controller (40): column 6, lines 55 to column 7, line 5).

As to claims 65 and 82, Briffa teaches the distortion signal comprises a number of components and the controller is capable of exerting independent control over at least one of them (figure 3, coefficients adjusted from the Controller (40), column 6, lines 55-58).

As to claims 66 and 83, Briffa teaches the controller generates at least one non-linear component of the signal input to the generator for correlation with the feedback signal to produce signals to control parameters of the distortion signal or components thereof (figure 3, Controller (40) produces several complex orthogonal coefficients based on the feedback signal to send to the PreD (37) or generator, column 6, line 55 to column 7, line 13).

As to claims 67, 68, 84 and 85, Briffa teaches the controller divides the signal input to the generator into components, determines their amplitudes and correlates them with the feedback signal to produce signals to control parameters of the distortion signal or components thereof (column 6, line 55 to column 7, line 13).

Art Unit: 2685

As to claims 69 and 86, Briffa teaches wherein the signal handling equipment comprises an amplifier (figure 3, circuit for linearising a RF Power Amplifier (13), column 5, lines 3-7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 54 and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Briffa et al. (U.S. Patent 6,075,411) with a view to Kimura (U.S. Patent 5,404,378).

As to claims 54 and 71 with respect to claims 51 and 70, Briffa teaches a lineariser circuit for a RF PA but does not teach the generator comprises a data store wherein the data store is addressed by values of the delivered signal to responsively output corresponding values for the distortion signal.

Kimura teaches a distortion compensating circuit for a RF power amplifier where an initial value sufficient to provide a compensation is previously set in an addressed memory storage for storing distortion compensating data (figure 1, conventional: column 4, lines 10-55 and figure 6: column 8, lines 6-38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Briffa with the coefficient addressed storage system of Kimura such that the convergence time of the distortion compensating effect is shortened.

5. Claims 87-90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cavers (U.S. Patent 5,049,832).

As to claims 87-90, Cavers teaches a method and a lineariser for reducing distortion of the output signal which signals handling equipment produces in response to an analogue RF input signal, the lineariser comprising:

an extractor for extracting in portion of the input signal,

a modifier for modifying the extracted signal to create non-linear components of reduced frequency therein (figure 5, the square law detector between the extractor or sampled RF analog input and the RAM Lookup table (LUT)).

a generator for *generating digitally* a distortion signal from a delivered signal which is the extracted signal and,

a mixer for mixing the distortion signal into the input signal in a quadrature mixing process (figure 5 is a block diagram including the above functional elements, function with digital signal processing (DSP): column 18, lines 28-59).

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. McNicol et al. (U.S. Patent 5,193,224) discloses an adaptive phase control for a power amplifier pre-distorter. Wessel et al. (U.S. Patent 6,275,685) discloses a circuit to pre-distort and cancel the AM-AM and AM-PM distortion of a power amplifier for improved spectral output purity for 3G cellular systems. Nojima (U.S.

Art Unit: 2685

Patent 4,943,783) discloses a feed forward distortion correction circuit for a power

amplifier.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Blane J Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 305-

5291. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM-5:00

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on (703) 305-4385. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BJJ

EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

7

Page 8

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600